

## University of Minnesota Nordic Undergraduate Mock Trial Battle XIII

9–11 October 2020

Team	Round 1	Round 2	Round 3	Round 4	Summary
1043 Minnesota Duluth	$\Delta$ v. 1163 W L 16 -5	$\pi$ v. 1398 L L -7 -23	$\Delta$ v. 1078 W W 4 1	$\pi$ v. 1275 L W -3 10	4 - 4 - 0 CS OCS PD 14.5 60 -7
1078 Illinois	$\pi$ v. 1507 L L -1 -3	$\Delta$ v. 1209 L T -17 0	$\pi$ v. 1043 L L -4 -1	$\Delta$ v. 1163 T W 0 12	1 - 5 - 2 CS OCS PD 14 58.5 -14
1123 California Berkeley A	$\pi$ v. 1275 W W 6 9	$\Delta$ v. 1400 W L 2 -3	$\pi$ v. 1314 W L 5 -1	$\Delta$ v. 1222 W W 20 5	6 - 2 - 0 CS OCS PD 20.5 72 43
1124 California Berkeley B	$\Delta$ v. 1315 W L 12 -2	$\pi$ v. 1163 W W 15 16	$\Delta$ v. 1398 L L -6 -11	$\pi$ v. 1359 W W 17 14	5 - 3 - 0 CS OCS PD 17.5 62.5 55
1141 Vanderbilt	$\pi$ v. 1427 W W 11 2	$\Delta$ v. 1507 L L -2 -3	$\pi$ v. 1308 W W 12 14	$\Delta$ v. 1400 T L 0 -4	4 - 3 - 1 CS OCS PD 17 70.5 30
1162 Hamline A	$\pi$ v. 1399 L L -3 -7	$\Delta$ v. 1275 W L 8 -5	$\pi$ v. 1315 L L -2 -3	$\Delta$ v. 1276 T W 0 11	2 - 5 - 1 CS OCS PD 18 57 -1
1163 Hamline B	$\pi$ v. 1043 L W -5 16	$\Delta$ v. 1124 L L -15 -16	$\Delta$ v. 1275 L L -6 -6	$\pi$ v. 1078 T L 0 -12	1 - 6 - 1 CS OCS PD 15 60 -44
1168 Loyola A	$\pi$ v. 1208 T L 0 -6	$\Delta$ v. 1427 W T 6 0	$\pi$ v. 1360 W W 1 1	$\Delta$ v. 1307 W W 15 9	5 - 1 - 2 CS OCS PD 14 63 26
1169 Loyola B	$\pi$ v. 1985 T W 0 1	$\Delta$ v. 1208 W L 4 -1	$\pi$ v. 1506 L L -6 -14	$\Delta$ v. 1308 L W -2 5	3 - 4 - 1 CS OCS PD 13.5 67 -13
1208 Central Florida A	$\Delta$ v. 1168 T W 0 6	$\pi$ v. 1169 W L 1 -4	$\pi$ v. 1222 L W -9 4	$\Delta$ v. 1315 L L -6 -1	3 - 4 - 1 CS OCS PD 18.5 64 -9
1209 Central Florida B	$\Delta$ v. 1308 L L -13 -3	$\pi$ v. 1078 W T 17 0	$\Delta$ v. 1359 L L -1 -13	$\pi$ v. 1316 W W 3 4	3 - 4 - 1 CS OCS PD 11 62.5 -6
1222 Notre Dame	$\Delta$ v. 1398 W L 2 -8	$\pi$ v. 1315 W W 7 6	$\Delta$ v. 1208 W L 9 -4	$\pi$ v. 1123 L L -20 -5	4 - 4 - 0 CS OCS PD 21.5 71 -13
1275 Northern Illinois A	$\Delta$ v. 1123 L L -6 -9	$\pi$ v. 1162 W L 5 -8	$\pi$ v. 1163 W W 6 6	$\Delta$ v. 1043 W L 3 -10	4 - 4 - 0 CS OCS PD 14 68 -13
1276 Northern Illinois B	$\Delta$ v. 1316 L L -3 -17	$\pi$ v. 1360 L L -3 -9	$\Delta$ v. 1985 T W 0 2	$\pi$ v. 1162 T L 0 -11	1 - 5 - 2 CS OCS PD 11.5 59 -41

1285 Carleton	$\Delta$ v. 1307 W W 9 5	$\pi$ v. 1316 W W 9 5	$\Delta$ v. 1399 L L -3 -5	$\pi$ v. 1507 L W -5 1	5 - 3 - 0 CS OCS PD 18 62 16
1307 Kansas A	$\pi$ v. 1285 L L -9 -5	$\Delta$ v. 1403 W W 8 6	$\Delta$ v. 1316 W W 2 6	$\pi$ v. 1168 L L -15 -9	4 - 4 - 0 CS OCS PD 13 62 -16
1308 Kansas B	$\pi$ v. 1209 W W 13 3	$\Delta$ v. 1399 L L -16 -16	$\Delta$ v. 1141 L L -12 -15	$\pi$ v. 1169 W L 2 -5	3 - 5 - 0 CS OCS PD 18.5 58 -46
1314 Missouri A	$\pi$ v. 1403 W W 20 14	$\Delta$ v. 1506 W W 8 6	$\Delta$ v. 1123 W L 1 -5	$\pi$ v. 1399 W L 2 -4	6 - 2 - 0 CS OCS PD 17 73 42
1315 Missouri B	$\pi$ v. 1124 W L 2 -12	$\Delta$ v. 1222 L L -7 -6	$\Delta$ v. 1162 W W 2 3	$\pi$ v. 1208 W W 6 1	5 - 3 - 0 CS OCS PD 15 75.5 -11
1316 Missouri C	$\pi$ v. 1276 W W 3 17	$\Delta$ v. 1285 L L -9 -5	$\pi$ v. 1307 L L -2 -6	$\Delta$ v. 1209 L L -3 -4	2 - 6 - 0 CS OCS PD 14.5 53.5 -9
1359 Minnesota A	$\pi$ v. 1506 L L -16 -22	$\Delta$ v. 1985 W W 5 11	$\pi$ v. 1209 W W 1 13	$\Delta$ v. 1124 L L -17 -14	4 - 4 - 0 CS OCS PD 15.5 58.5 -39
1360 Minnesota B	$\pi$ v. 1400 L L -8 -3	$\Delta$ v. 1276 W W 3 9	$\Delta$ v. 1168 L L -1 -1	$\pi$ v. 1427 W W 13 7	4 - 4 - 0 CS OCS PD 17 59.5 19
1398 Mass. Amherst A	$\pi$ v. 1222 W L 8 -2	$\Delta$ v. 1043 W W 7 23	$\pi$ v. 1124 W W 6 11	$\Delta$ v. 1506 W W 10 4	7 - 1 - 0 CS OCS PD 17 74 67
1399 Mass. Amherst B	$\Delta$ v. 1162 W W 3 7	$\pi$ v. 1308 W W 16 16	$\pi$ v. 1285 W W 3 5	$\Delta$ v. 1314 L W -2 4	7 - 1 - 0 CS OCS PD 16.5 71.5 52
1400 Mass. Amherst C	$\Delta$ v. 1360 W W 8 3	$\pi$ v. 1123 W L 3 -2	$\Delta$ v. 1507 W W 10 5	$\pi$ v. 1141 T W 0 4	6 - 1 - 1 CS OCS PD 19.5 72.5 31
1403 Illinois Springfield	$\Delta$ v. 1314 L L -20 -14	$\pi$ v. 1307 L L -8 -6	$\Delta$ v. 1427 L L -17 -20	$\pi$ v. 1985 L L -4 -4	0 - 8 - 0 CS OCS PD 15.5 54 -93
1427 Eastern Michigan	$\Delta$ v. 1141 L L -11 -2	$\pi$ v. 1168 T L 0 -6	$\pi$ v. 1403 W W 17 20	$\Delta$ v. 1360 L L -13 -7	2 - 5 - 1 CS OCS PD 14.5 63.5 -2
1506 New York A	$\Delta$ v. 1359 W W 16 22	$\pi$ v. 1314 L L -8 -6	$\Delta$ v. 1169 W W 6 14	$\pi$ v. 1398 L L -10 -4	4 - 4 - 0 CS OCS PD 20.5 63 30
1507 New York B	$\Delta$ v. 1078 W W 3 1	$\pi$ v. 1141 W W 2 3	$\pi$ v. 1400 L L -10 -5	$\Delta$ v. 1285 W L 5 -1	5 - 3 - 0 CS OCS PD 18 68.5 -2
1985 Bye Team	$\Delta$ v. 1169 T L 0 -1	$\pi$ v. 1359 L L -5 -11	$\pi$ v. 1276 T L 0 -2	$\Delta$ v. 1403 W W 4 4	2 - 4 - 2 CS OCS PD 9.5 56 -11

### **Final Placing**

- 1) 1398 Massachusetts Amherst A, 7-1-0, CS 17
- 2) 1399 Massachusetts Amherst B, 7-1-0, CS 16.5
- 3) 1400 Massachusetts Amherst C, 6-1-1, CS 19.5
- 4) 1123 California Berkeley A, 6-2-0, CS 20.5
- 5) 1314 Missouri A, 6-2-0, CS 17
- 6) Loyola A, 5-1-2, CS 14

### **Spirit of AMTA**

27-1043 Minnesota Duluth

### **Outstanding Attorney Awards**

- 20-Δ Jacob Ostermann, 1162 Hamline A
- 20-Δ Mac Newton, 1314 Missouri A
- 19-Δ Nitya Dandu, 1360 Minnesota B
- 19-π Alicia Foley, 1209 Central Florida B
- 19-π Becca Wells, 1315 Missouri B
- 19-π Molly Zuckerman, 1285 Carleton A
- 19-Δ Rebecca Steinberg, 1124 California Berkeley B
- 18-Δ Luke Norquist, 1285 Carleton A
- 18-π Maya McCollum, 1399 Mass Amherst B

### **Outstanding Witness Awards**

- 19-π Mac Newton, 1314 Missouri A
- 19-π Sienna Ross, 1507 New York A
- 18-Δ Abigail Hefter, 1043 Minnesota Duluth A
- 18-π Kara Burns, 1209 Central Florida B
- 18-Δ Sean Zeciri, 1276 Northern Illinois B
- 18-Δ Tranquil Bent, 1162 Hamline A
- 17-Δ Curtis Feliciano, 1506 New York A
- 17-π Kathryn Keever, 1168 Loyola A
- 17-π Nathan Holtmeyer, 1316 Missouri C
- 17-Δ Paige Barrella, 1123 California Berkeley A
- 17-Δ Payton Winterhof, 1169 Loyola B